

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

001

JUN 22 2005

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH
 PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT ATTORNEYS
 P.O. Box 2938
 Minneapolis, MN 55402
 Telephone (612) 373-6900 Facsimile (612) 339-3061

June 22, 2005

Time: 4:45pm
 (Minneapolis, Minn.)

TO: Commissioner for Patents
 Attn: Ori Nadav
 Patent Examining Corps
 Facsimile Center
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


FROM: Timothy B. Clise
 OUR REF: 303.367US3
 TELEPHONE: 571-272-1660

FAX NUMBER 703-872-9306*** Please deliver to Examiner Ori Nadav in Art Unit 2811. ***Document(s) Transmitted: **A Response Under 1.116 (10 pages).**Total pages of this transmission, including cover letter: 11 pgs.

If you do NOT receive all of the pages described above, please telephone us at 612-373-6900 or fax us at 612-339-3061.

In re. Patent Application of: Paul A. Farrar et al.Examiner: Ori NadavSerial No.: 10/705,185Group Art Unit: 2811Filed: November 11, 2003Docket No.: 303.367US3Title: BURIED CONDUCTORS

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

By: 
 Name: Timothy B. Clise
 Reg. No.: Reg. No. 40,957

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Tina M. Kohout2:116/22/05

Date of Transmission

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE - EXAMINING GROUP 2811

S/N 10/705,185PATENTIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Paul A. Farrar et al.	Examiner:	Ori Nadav
Serial No.:	10/705,185	Group Art Unit:	2811
Filed:	November 11, 2003	Docket No.:	303.367US3
Title:	BURIED CONDUCTORS		

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

Mail Stop AF
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REMARKS

Applicant has reviewed the Final Office action mailed March 25, 2005. No claims are amended, canceled, or added; as a result, claims 1-21 remain pending in this application.

Specification Objection

Applicant respectfully submits that the specification does provide enablement commensurate with the scope of Claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11-12, 15-16, 19-21, as was discussed in detail in the previous response dated 5 January, 2005, which is incorporated herein by reference, for at least the following reasons and respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

The Examiner states on Office Action page 2, third line that "a capacitor" is not found in the specification. Applicant respectfully disagrees and submits that on page 6, line 2, with regard to the embodiment of figure 1(b), it states the "... deep trench capacitor...", which fulfills the enablement requirement for the selected embodiment of claims 1-21. Further, the recited "parallel buried conductive elements" may be found on page 5, line 27 and in figure 1(b). This was discussed in the previous response dated 5 January, 2005, to which the Examiner has not responded other than by stating on page 9 that "there is support in the embodiments of figures 1(a), 1(c) and 2a-2b for the claimed limitations, as recited in claims 1-2, there is not support in the elected embodiment of figure 1(b)". Applicant has shown above that the limitations of claim 1 are clearly enumerated in the very section of the specification's discussion of the embodiment